

# Section 305 Technical Subcommittee

Boston, MA: June 14, 2014



# Introduction

Mario Bergeron - Chairman



# Purpose of the Meeting

- The Draft Specification has been created for DMU
- This specification has involved more Technical Working Groups than before
- Lessons learned from previous specification writing efforts have been incorporated
- By the end of this meeting, we expect to vote to approve the specification

# The PIIA Specification Family

- Bi-Level (Initial Approval)—August 2010
  - Rev A: September 2011
  - Rev B: January 2012 (in support of RFI)
  - Rev C: April 2012 (in support of RFP)
- Single Level—February 2011
- Diesel Electric Locomotive—March 2011
- Trainset—August 2011
- DMU—*planned August 2012*
- Dual-Mode Locomotive—anticipated Q3-2013

# Specification Development

- Requirements Document
  - Approved by Executive Committee
  - Provides Basis for Specification Details
- Develop First Draft Chapters
- Open Comment Period
- Technical Subcommittee Approval
- Review Panel Review
- Executive Committee Approval
- Subsequent Changes/Configuration Mgmt.

# Industry Role for DMU

- At the outset of the DMU specification process there was much discussion over the demand for equipment
  - Many previous participants in specification development were less able to justify investing the time to support the DMU process
- A core group of people undertook to produce the necessary inputs to allow the specification to be completed
- The smaller group of contributors has several effects
  - The time for generation of the document was extended
  - Greater participation during the specification development period was strongly encouraged to minimize change proposals.
  - This contributed to only receiving **13** change proposals
- Today is the culmination of the review process and we shall see what it will take to approve the specification as was the case for the previous specifications

# PRIIA 305 Tech. Subcommittee

## Organizational Structure

- The same subgroup structure has been used as was the case for the previous specifications
- Specification Director – Dave Warner
- Technical Working Groups
  - Electrical – Tammy Krause
  - Interior – Andrew Wood
  - Mechanical – Jeff Gordon
  - Propulsion – Jack Madden
  - Structures – Anand Prabhakaran
  - VTI – Brian Marquis
- Many thanks to the subgroup leaders and those members of their groups that have worked on this specification

# What Makes the DMU Specification Different?

- Previous specifications have been more definitive about the product that is required.
- Based on the single-level car specification, but includes propulsion.
- Wide variety of propulsion modes and configurations need to be accommodated.
- The goal is to have a specification that:
  - does not unduly favor any manufacturer over any other
  - does not favor any technological approach over any other
  - provides compatibility with PRR single-level equipment



# What Happens Next to the Specification?

- The Executive Board will need to review for acceptance the recommended specification of the technical subcommittee
  - A requirements document was approved by the Executive Board
  - A Review Panel has been established to assess the specification against those requirements and recommend acceptance or further work
  - The Executive Board will then vote on acceptance of the specification on/about August 7<sup>th</sup>, 2012

# Other Technical Subcommittee Activities

- Future specification work will include dual mode locomotive
- Current ongoing work includes
  - Standardization working group activities on implementing the standardization policy adopted by the Executive Board
  - Procurement support of Bi-Level specification
  - Revision to Diesel-Electric Locomotive specification
  - Support of imminent D-E Locomotive procurement
  - Revisions to other specifications
  - Accessibility Working Group developing recommendations

Thank You!



# Specification Development and Approval

Dale Engelhardt - Vice Chairman



# What Will Happen Today?

- We have one goal before the meeting is concluded:
  - Finalize and approve the DMU specification
- How has that specification been produced?
- How are we going to review that work today?
- Who is going to take us through the process?

# DMU Specification Inputs



# What Makes the DMU Specification Different?

- Several chapters on project management, testing, materials, references, etc. (Chapters 2, 3, 18 and 19) have used the common wording found in previous specifications
- The vehicle contains propulsion and passenger car attributes.
  - Other chapters were matched as closely as possible to existing chapter numbers.
  - Total of 25 chapters makes it the “largest” specification to date.

# What Happens Today?

- Everyone has had the opportunity to review the draft specification, and propose changes
- The subgroups have reviewed and decided upon each of the change requests, and a summary matrix of findings is posted on the AASHTO website
- Decision on some requests has been deferred to today
- We will review all deferred urgent and as many less urgent change requests as time allows



# How Will the Review Take Place?

- The same as we've done before
- Each question is allocated 15 minutes maximum
  - 5 minutes for the proposer to explain why they believe a change is necessary
  - 5 minutes for the rolling stock team and subgroup leader to respond
  - 5 minutes for discussion and conclusion
- The decision will be made by the specification director and the Technical Working Group leader based on the discussion held
- When all points are reviewed and decisions made, a vote will be taken by the voting members on the acceptability or otherwise of the specification for submission to the Executive Board

***Please Be Concise!***

# Summary of Work on Technical Specification

PRIIA DMU

# Summary of Status of Changes Proposed for the DMU

- Initial draft of all DMU Chapters, and Change Request form posted on AASHTO website. Comment period was April 20 to May 11.
- Each submitted Change Request form was reviewed and final decision made by SubGroup, who revised Chapter wording as necessary
- All Change Request forms and their disposition are shown on the Change Request Summary Matrix on the AASHTO website
- The Change Request details are as follows
  - **Accepted**                    **3**
  - **Amended**                    **8**
  - **Rejected**                    **1**
  - **Deferred**                    **1**
  - **Open**                         **0**
  
  - **TOTAL**                        **13**

# Upcoming Activities for NGENC Tech Subcommittee

- Standardization Working Group
  - Process currently undergoing pilot
  - Implementation through acquisition programs to come
- Document Control Process
  - Processed three Revisions to Bi-Level Specification
  - Working on Rev. A to Diesel-Electric Locomotive Specification
  - Working on Rev. A to Single Level Specification
  - Developing procedures to handle Accessibility Changes
- Support to Acquisition Programs
  - RFP in progress for apprx. 130 Bi-Level cars
  - Diesel-Electric Locomotive this summer
  - Technical support throughout the programs will be required

Thank You!

